

STATE OF NEW YORK **UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEAL BOARD** PO Box 15126 Albany NY 12212-5126

DECISION OF THE BOARD

Mailed and Filed: OCTOBER 20, 2021

IN THE MATTER OF: Appeal Board No. 618432

PRESENT: MICHAEL T. GREASON, MEMBER

In Appeal Board Nos. 618430, 618431, 618432, 618433 & 618434, the claimant appeals from the decisions of the Administrative Law Judge filed September 09, 2021, which sustained the initial determinations holding the claimant ineligible to receive benefits effective March 16, 2020 through March 8, 2021 on the basis that the claimant was not available for employment; reducing the claimant's right to receive future benefits by four effective days on the basis that the claimant made willful misrepresentations to obtain benefits; holding the claimant ineligible to receive benefits effective August 24, 2020 through September 27, 2020 on the basis that the claimant failed to certify for the benefit period within the seven-day period for such certification; reducing the claimant's right to receive future benefits by 80 effective days on the basis that the claimant made willful misrepresentations to obtain benefits; and holding the claimant ineligible to receive future benefits by 80 effective days on the basis that the claimant made willful misrepresentations to obtain benefits; and holding the claimant ineligible to receive benefits by 80 effective days on the basis that the claimant made willful misrepresentations to obtain benefits; and holding the claimant ineligible to receive benefits effective days on the basis that the claimant made willful misrepresentations to obtain benefits; and holding the claimant ineligible to receive benefits effective January 4, 2020 through March 8, 2021 on the basis that the claimant did not comply with reporting requirements.

At the combined telephone conference hearing before the Administrative Law Judge, all parties were accorded a full opportunity to be heard and testimony was taken. There was an appearance by the claimant.

The Board is unable to render an informed decision because the audio recording of the hearing is inaudible and, therefore, a transcript of the proceeding cannot be made.

Now, based on all the foregoing, it is

ORDERED, that the decision of the Administrative Law Judge be, and the same hereby is, rescinded; and it is further

ORDERED, that the case shall be, and the same hereby is, remanded to the Hearing Section to hold a hearing de novo on the issues, upon due notice to all the parties and their representatives; and it is further

ORDERED, that an Administrative Law Judge shall render a new decision, on the issues, containing appropriate findings of fact and conclusions of law.

MICHAEL T. GREASON, MEMBER